



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/611,548	07/07/2000	DOUGLAS G. LOWENSTEIN	114595-2-Polest	6763
68536	7590	01/10/2008		
THE LAW OFFICE OF DONNA L. ANGOTTI 140 BROADWAY SUITE 4600 NEW YORK, NY 10005			EXAMINER SUBRAMANIAN, NARAYANSWAMY	
			ART UNIT 3691	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 01/10/2008	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/611,548

Applicant(s)

LOWENSTEIN ET AL.

Examiner

Narayanswamy Subramanian

Art Unit

3691

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 October 2007.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-181 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☒ Claim(s) 1-181 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

1. This communication is in response to Applicant's communication filed on May 2, 2007 and October 10, 2007. Amendments to claims as indicated in the communications and addition of new claims 126-181 have been entered. Claims 1-181 are pending in this application and are subject to election/restriction as discussed below.

Election/Restrictions

2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 1-27, 31-52, 56-59 and 74-181, drawn to a method, comprising the steps of: leasing a space from a landlord to a tenant under a space lease, the verb "leasing" meaning "granting to another, or receiving a grant of from another, or taking hold of by a lease from another, the possession and use of real or personal property, in return for present payment of or an obligation to pay rent or other consideration"; leasing improvements to the space to the tenant under an improvements lease distinct from the space lease, the improvements lease being structured together with the space lease to support an accounting conclusion that the space lease and improvements lease are to be considered together as a single lease and classified as an operating lease; wherein at least some portion of originating, managing, or analyzing the improvements lease is performed with assistance of a computer, classified in class 705, subclass 36T. A utility of this group of claims is leasing a space from a landlord to a tenant under a space lease.

II. Claims 28-30 and 53-55, drawn to a computer programmed: to solicit proposals from tenants for financing for tenant improvements to spaces leased by the respective tenants under respective space leases, each proposal offering terms for lease of tenant improvements to

the corresponding space under an improvements lease distinct from the corresponding space lease, each improvements lease to be structured together with the corresponding space lease to support an accounting conclusion that the space lease and improvements lease are to be considered together as a single lease and classified as an operating lease; and to solicit offers of financing from lenders to the tenants' proposals, and notify the respective tenant and lender when an offer matches a proposal, classified in class 705, subclass 26. A utility of this group of claims is to solicit proposals from tenants for financing for tenant improvements to spaces leased by the respective tenants under respective space leases.

III. Claims 60-73, drawn to a method, comprising the steps of: leasing a longer-lived asset and a shorter-lived asset to a lessee under two separate leases, the verb "leasing" meaning "granting to another, or receiving a grant of from another, or taking hold of by a lease from another, the possession and use of real or personal property, in return for present payment of or an obligation to pay rent or other consideration", rent payments under the lease of the shorter-lived asset having a present value at least equal to a cost of the shorter-lived asset at a time of commencement of the lease of the shorter-lived asset; the lease to the shorter-lived asset being structured together with the lease to the longer-lived asset to support an accounting conclusion that the two leases are to be considered together as a single lease, classified as an operating lease; wherein at least some portion of leasing the shorter-lived asset is performed with assistance of a computer, data processed by the computer representing one or more of a group consisting of the lessee, a credit rating, a transaction date, a dollar amount, a loan purchaser, and a loan securitization, classified in class 705, subclass 36T. A utility of this group of claims is leasing a longer-lived asset and a shorter-lived asset to a lessee under two separate leases.

3. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. As is obvious from the preambles, the steps of the invention and their separate utility, the inventions are distinct from each other. See MPEP § 806.05(d). Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Inventions I and III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. As is obvious from the steps of the claimed invention and their separate utility, the inventions are distinct from each other. See MPEP § 806.05(d). Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper, even though they are classified in the same class and subclass.

Inventions II and III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. As is obvious from the preambles, the steps of the invention and their separate utility, the inventions

are distinct from each other. See MPEP § 806.05(d). Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

The examiner has required restriction between subcombinations usable together. Where applicant elects a subcombination and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.


4. Applicant is advised that reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dr. Narayanswamy Subramanian whose telephone number is (571) 272-6751. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Thursday from 8:30 AM to 7:00 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alexander Kalinowski can be reached at (571) 272-6771. The fax number for Formal or Official faxes and Draft to the Patent Office is (571) 273-8300.

Application/Control Number:
09/611,548
Art Unit: 3691

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PMR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PMR only. For more information about the PMR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).


Dr. N. Subramanian
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3691

January 6, 2008